arXiv:1401.4731v2 [math.AT] 28 Apr 2015

# UNIVERSALITY OF ACTIONS ON $\mathbb{H}P^2$.

ANDREY KUSTAREV

Abstract. We show that any eight-dimensional oriented manifold $M$ possessing a smooth circle action with exactly three fixed points has the same weights as a certain circle action on $\mathbb{H}P^2$. It follows that the Pontryagin numbers and the equivariant cohomology of $M$ coincide with those of $\mathbb{H}P^2$. If $M$ admits a cellular decomposition with three cells, then it is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{H}P^2$.

It is well-known that the quaternionic projective plane $\mathbb{H}P^2$ admits a circle action with exactly three fixed points. This action is of particular interest by several reasons. The quaternionic projective plane is an even-dimensional homogeneous space admitting no complex or almost complex structure, but nevertheless having a vast symmetry group. Examples of circle actions with exactly three isolated fixed points are surprisingly rare. Known examples of homogeneous spaces $G/H$ admitting an action of one-dimensional subgroup of $G$ with exactly three fixed points include $\mathbb{C}P^2, \mathbb{H}P^2$ and $\mathbb{C}aP^2$. Speaking of $\mathbb{H}P^2$, one can notice that this manifold also admits a cellular decomposition with exactly three cells. Considering the standard circle action on $\mathbb{C}P^2$ with isolated fixed points, we know that it is Hamiltonian with respect to the standard symplectic structure. Since any Hamiltonian is always a Morse function, it produces a cellular decomposition of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ with the cells corresponding to the fixed points of the original action. In this respect, $\mathbb{H}P^2$ behaves similarly to $\mathbb{C}P^2$, but clearly $\mathbb{H}P^2$ has no symplectic structure (since it cannot be almost complex) and therefore has no Hamiltonian actions. The connection between circle actions and topology of the manifold in this case would be more subtle.

We recall that the quaternionic projective plane $\mathbb{H}P^2$ is defined as the quotient space $(\mathbb{H}^3_* / \sim)$, where $\mathbb{H} = \{a + bi + cj + dk\}$, $\mathbb{H}^3_* = \mathbb{H}^3 \backslash \{0, 0, 0\}$ and $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \sim (y_1, y_2, y_3)$ iff $(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (y_1 q, y_2 q, y_3 q)$ for some $q \in \mathbb{H} \setminus \{0\}$. We view the circle $S^1$ as the multiplicative group of complex numbers with absolute value 1, together with a natural inclusion into $\mathbb{H}\backslash\{0\}$ given by the formula $(a+b\cdot i) \to (a+b\cdot i+0\cdot j+0\cdot k)$.

Consider now two families of circle actions on $\mathbb{H}P^2$:

(1) The standard action of the form
$e^{2\pi i t} \cdot (x_1 : x_2 : x_3) = (e^{2k_1\pi i t} x_1 : e^{2k_2\pi i t} x_2 : e^{2k_3\pi i t} x_3)$,

(2) The "semi-integer" action of the form
$e^{2\pi i t} \cdot (x_1 : x_2 : x_3) = (e^{(1+2k_1)\pi i t} x_1 : e^{(1+2k_2)\pi i t} x_2 : e^{(1+2k_3)\pi i t} x_3)$,
$k_1, k_2, k_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

In the case of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ these two families are equivalent, since the multiplication in $\mathbb{C}$ is commutative. However, they are different on $\mathbb{H}P^2$ – as we will see later, their sets of weights at the fixed points are different.

If the circle acts smoothly on an oriented manifold with only isolated fixed points, then the tangent representation of the circle is defined at each fixed point. This representation splits into a sum of two-dimensional irreducible circle representations, and every real irreducible representation of the circle is characterized by a single invariant: a positive integer called the weight of the action. By definition, the sign of a fixed point of a circle action on an oriented manifold is equal to $(+1)$ if the orientation of the manifold coincides with the orientation of the direct sum of the induced two-dimensional representations at this point and is equal to $(-1)$ otherwise.

**Theorem 1.** *The set of weights of any smooth circle action on a 8-dimensional closed oriented manifold $M$ with exactly three fixed points is equivalent to the set of weights of some circle action on $\mathbb{H}P^2$ belonging to one of the two families described above.*

**Theorem 2.** *If a manifold $M$ admits a cellular decomposition with exactly three cells (or, equivalently, admits a Morse function with exactly three critical points) in addition to the conditions of Theorem 1, then $M$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{H}P^2$.*

Note that Theorem 1 implies that the Pontryagin numbers and the equivariant cohomology of the manifold coincide with those of $\mathbb{H}P^2$. This follows from the localization theorem, whose precise formulation is given later.

Let us show how one can deduce Theorem 2 from Theorem 1. As shown in [1], any 8-dimensional smooth manifold $M$ admitting a cellular decomposition with exactly three cells is determined up to diffeomorphism by its Pontryagin numbers. By Theorem 1, the weights of the circle action on $M$ coincide with the weights of some circle action on $\mathbb{H}P^2$. Thus the Pontryagin numbers of $M$ and $\mathbb{H}P^2$ must also coincide by the localization theorem, which implies Theorem 2.

The proof of theorem 1 is the subject of this paper. Without loss of generality, we may assume the circle action on $M$ to be faithful; otherwise we just factor out the finite subgroup acting trivially on $M$. In the case of a faithful action, the GCD of all weights at each single fixed point is always 1.

The main three technical lemmas used in the proof are given below. In the statements of these lemmas, we consider the general case of a circle acting smoothly on a $2n$-dimensional oriented closed manifold $M^{2n}$ with $m$ fixed points. Denote by $\{a_{ki}\}$ the weights at the fixed point $q_i$, $i = 1 \dots m$, $k = 1 \dots n$.

**Lemma 1** (Localization Theorem, [5], [8]). *Denote by $p_\sigma(M^{2n})$ the Pontryagin class corresponding to a symmetric polynomial $\sigma$ in $n$ variables such that $\deg\sigma \leqslant \frac{n}{2}$. Then*

$$\big(p_\sigma(M^{2n}), [M^{2n}]\big) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{sign}(q_i) \frac{\sigma(a_{1i}^2, \dots, a_{ni}^2)}{\prod\limits_{k=1}^{n} a_{ki}}.$$

As usual, we set $(p_\sigma(M^{2n}), [M^{2n}]) = 0$ if $\deg\sigma < n/2$. In particular, in the case of an action of $S^1$ on an eight-dimensional manifold $M$ with exactly three fixed points, we obtain two homogeneous equations on the weights of the action, for the unit class $1 \in H^0(M, \mathbb{Z})$ and for the first Pontryagin class $p_1(M) \in H^4(M, \mathbb{Z})$:

$$\frac{\operatorname{sign}(q_1)}{a_{11}a_{21}a_{31}a_{41}} + \frac{\operatorname{sign}(q_2)}{a_{12}a_{22}a_{32}a_{42}} + \frac{\operatorname{sign}(q_3)}{a_{13}a_{23}a_{33}a_{43}} = 0,$$

$$\operatorname{sign}(q_1)\frac{(a_{11}^2 + a_{21}^2 + a_{31}^2 + a_{41}^2)}{a_{11}a_{21}a_{31}a_{41}} + \\ + \operatorname{sign}(q_2)\frac{(a_{12}^2 + a_{22}^2 + a_{32}^2 + a_{42}^2)}{a_{12}a_{22}a_{32}a_{42}} + \\ + \operatorname{sign}(q_3)\frac{(a_{13}^2 + a_{23}^2 + a_{33}^2 + a_{43}^2)}{a_{13}a_{23}a_{33}a_{43}} = 0.$$

Note that the localization formula for the unit class immediately implies non-existence of circle actions on closed manifolds having only one fixed point.

**Lemma 2** (weights of finite subgroup actions, see [3]). *Let $a \neq 1$ be one of the weights at the fixed point $q_i$.*

1. *The set $M^{\mathbb{Z}_a}$ of points which are invariant under the action of the subgroup $\mathbb{Z}_a \subset S^1$ on $M^{2n}$ is a disjoint union of smooth connected submanifolds (of possibly different dimensions) in $M^{2n}$.*
2. *If $a$ is also present among the weights at some fixed point $q_j$ lying in the same connected component of $M^{\mathbb{Z}_a}$ as $q_i$, then the sets of weights at the points $q_i$ and $q_j$ coincide after factorization $\mathbb{Z}_{>0} \to \mathbb{Z}_a/\pm 1$.*

Lemma 2 has an interesting implication in the case of a circle action with exactly three fixed points. Every component of $M^{\mathbb{Z}_a} \subset M^{2n}$ is also a manifold equipped with circle action having only isolated fixed points and, as mentioned above, there are no components with exactly one fixed point. So if $mult_j(a)$ is the number of weights at a point $q_j$ which are multiples of some integer number $a > 1$, then all of the nonzero numbers $mult_j(a)$ for $j = 1 \dots 3$ are equal to each other.

**Lemma 3** (weight pairing and weight graph, [4], [2])**.** *The weights of a circle action with isolated fixed points on an oriented manifold can be split into pairs of equals corresponding to different fixed points.*

Lemma 3 implies that the weights at the fixed points $q_1, q_2, q_3$ on our eight-dimensional manifold $M$ can be split in pairs in the following way:

- the weights at $q_1$ have the form $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2$;
- the weights at $q_2$ have the form $a_1, a_2, c_1, c_2$;
- the weights at $q_3$ have the form $b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2$.

Recall that $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2$ are positive integers. We may assume without loss of generality that $a_1$ is the maximal weight (there may be other weights equal to it). Applying the localization theorem for the unit Pontryagin class, we obtain $a_1 > 1$.

Applying Lemma 2 in our situation, we obtain that there are exactly three possibilities for the weights $c_1$ and $c_2$:

(1) $\{c_1, c_2\} = \{a_1 - b_1, a_1 - b_2\}$;
(2) $\{c_1, c_2\} = \{b_1, b_2\}$;
(3) $\{c_1, c_2\} = \{a_1 - b_1, b_2\}$ and $b_2 \neq a_1/2$.

In any of the three cases above, there is a pair of fixed points with the opposite signs; otherwise we get a contradiction with the localization theorem for the unit Pontryagin class.

We will show below that only the first case is possible. For given numbers $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2$ and $c_i = a_1 - b_i$, $i = 1, 2$, one can explicitly write out an action on $\mathbb{H}P^2$ with the required weight pattern.

## 1. Case One

In this case we have $c_1 = a_1 - b_1, c_2 = a_1 - b_2$. We first show that the sign distribution of the form $(+ + -)$ for the points $q_1, q_2, q_3$ is impossible. The remaining two possibilities are in fact symmetric; we will show that they both correspond to circle actions on $\mathbb{H}P^2$ belonging to one of the two families considered at the beginning of this paper. Namely, we will show that the action is standard (resp. semi-integer) if and only if the sum $(a_1 + a_2)$ or, equivalently, the sum $b_1 + b_2$, is even (resp. odd).

Suppose that the signs of the points $(q_1, q_2, q_3)$ are $(++-)$. Then we can come to a contradiction by applying the localization theorem for the Pontryagin classes 1 and $p_1(M)$.

We obtain the following equations on the weights:

$$(a_1 - b_1)(a_1 - b_2) + b_1 b_2 - a_1 a_2 = 0,$$

$$(a_1-b_1)(a_1-b_2)(a_1^2+a_2^2+b_1^2+b_2^2)+$$
$$+b_1b_2((a_1-b_1)^2+(a_1-b_2)^2+a_1^2+a_2^2)-$$
$$-a_1a_2((a_1-b_1)^2+(a_1-b_2)^2+b_1^2+b_2^2)=0.$$

The first equation is equivalent to $a_1(a_1-a_2-b_1-b_2)=-2b_1b_2$. Now we subtract the first equation multiplied by $(a_1^2+a_2^2+b_1^2+b_2^2)$ from the second one. We obtain

$$b_1b_2(2a_1^2-2a_1b_1-2a_1b_2)-a_1a_2(2a_1^2-2a_1b_1-2a_1b_2+b_1^2+b_2^2-a_1^2-a_2^2)=0.$$

From the first equation we get $a_1^2-a_1b_1-a_1b_2=a_1a_2-2b_1b_2$. Substituting this into the equation above we get

$$b_1b_2(2a_1a_2-4b_1b_2)=a_1a_2(2a_1a_2-4b_1b_2+b_1^2+b_2^2-a_1^2-a_2^2),$$

$$b_1b_2(2a_1a_2-4b_1b_2)=a_1a_2(-6b_1b_2+(b_1+b_2)^2-(a_1-a_2)^2),$$

$$b_1b_2(2a_1a_2-4b_1b_2)=a_1a_2(-6b_1b_2+(b_1+b_2-a_1+a_2)(b_1+b_2+a_1-a_2))$$

Now recall that $b_1+b_2-a_1+a_2=2b_1b_2/a_1$, so we may divide both parts by $2b_1b_2$:

$$a_1a_2-2b_1b_2=a_1a_2(-3+(b_1+b_2+a_1-a_2)/a_1),$$

$$-2b_1b_2=a_2(-3a_1+b_1+b_2-a_2)$$

Applying again $-2b_1b_2=a_1(a_1-a_2-b_1-b_2)$, we finally get

$$a_1^2-a_1a_2-a_1b_1-a_1b_2=-3a_1a_2+a_2b_1+a_2b_2-a_2^2,$$

and so $(a_1+a_2)^2=(a_1+a_2)\cdot(b_1+b_2)$ and $a_1+a_2=b_1+b_2$. Substituting this into the first equation $a_1(a_1-a_2-b_1-b_2)=-2b_1b_2$, we also get $b_1b_2=a_1a_2$. But this implies that one of the weights $b_1,b_2$ is equal to $a_1$ and so one of the weights $(a_1-b_1)$ or $(a_1-b_2)$ is zero. This is impossible since the action has only isolated fixed points.

Now suppose that the signs are of the form $(-++)$. Then the localization equation for the unit Pontryagin class is

$$-(a_1-b_1)(a_1-b_2)+b_1b_2+a_1a_2=0,$$

$$a_1(a_1-b_1-b_2-a_2)=0,$$

so we have $a_1=b_1+b_2+a_2$.

Consider the circle action on $\mathbb{H}P^2$ given by the formula

$$e^{2\pi it}\cdot(x_1:x_2:x_3)=(e^{2\pi itp_1}x_1:e^{2\pi itp_2}x_2:e^{2\pi itp_3}x_3),$$

where all of the $p_1,p_2,p_3$ are either integers or semi-integers.

Direct calculations show that

- the weights at the point $(1:0:0)$ are $|p_2\pm p_1|,|p_3\pm p_1|$;
- the weights at the point $(0:1:0)$ are $|p_2\pm p_1|$, $|p_3\pm p_2|$;
- the weights at the point $(0:0:1)$ are $|p_3\pm p_2|$, $|p_3\pm p_1|$.

Returning to the original problem, our task is to find numbers $p_1, p_2, p_3$ corresponding to the initial values of the weights $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2$. If we assume $0 \leqslant p_1 < p_2 < p_3$ and $b_2 \leqslant b_1$, then the answer is

$$a_1 = p_3 + p_2,$$

$$a_2 = p_3 - p_2,$$

$$b_1 = p_2 + p_1,$$

$$b_2 = p_2 - p_1.$$

Then $a_1 = a_2 + b_1 + b_2$ and the fixed point $q_1$ corresponds to $(0 : 1 : 0)$. The next point containing the weight $a_1$ is $(0 : 0 : 1)$ and it corresponds to $q_3$, so $(1 : 0 : 0)$ corresponds to $q_2$.

Now suppose that the signs are of the form $(+ - +)$. Then the localization equation is

$$(a_1 - b_1)(a_1 - b_2) - b_1 b_2 + a_1 a_2 = 0,$$

$$a_1(a_1 - b_1 - b_2 + a_2) = 0,$$

so $a_1 + a_2 = b_1 + b_2$. Parameters of the corresponding circle action on $\mathbb{H}P^2$ now may be found as in the previous case.

## 2. Case Two

Here we suppose that $c_1 = b_1, c_2 = b_2$. Applying the localization formula for the unit Pontryagin class we obtain

$$\pm\frac{1}{a_1 a_2 b_1 b_2} \pm \frac{1}{a_1 a_2 b_1 b_2} \pm \frac{1}{b_1 b_2 b_1 b_2} = 0,$$

and so the signs of the fixed points should have the form $(+ + -)$. So $2b_1 b_2 = a_1 a_2$. Now we write the localization formula for the Pontryagin class $p_1(M)$:

$$2\frac{a_1^2 + a_2^2 + b_1^2 + b_2^2}{a_1 a_2 b_1 b_2} - \frac{b_1^2 + b_2^2 + b_1^2 + b_2^2}{b_1 b_2 b_1 b_2} = 0.$$

Simplifying this expression, we get

$$a_1^2 + a_2^2 = b_1^2 + b_2^2.$$

But $a_1 a_2 = 2b_1 b_2 > 0$. Subtracting this from the last equation, we get $(a_1 - a_2)^2 < (b_1 - b_2)^2$. Considering $b_2 \leqslant b_1$ and using $a_2 \leqslant a_1$ we get that $a_1 - a_2 < b_1 - b_2$. Similarly, $(a_1 + a_2)^2 > (b_1 + b_2)^2$, and so $a_1 + a_2 > b_1 + b_2$. Summing up these inequalities implies $a_2 > b_2$. Since $a_1 \geqslant b_1$, the sums of squares $(a_1^2 + a_2^2)$ and $(b_1^2 + b_2^2)$ are not equal. This is a contradiction.

## 3. Case Three

Finally, suppose that $c_1 = a_1 - b_1, c_2 = b_2$. We will see that this is possible only when $b_2 = a_1/2$ (so $c_i = a_1 - b_i$, just as in the Case 1). To show that we will have to check out all three possible cases of the fixed point sign distributions. So the proof splits here in three more cases.

Now the localization equations have the following form:

$$\pm(a_1 - b_1)b_2 \pm b_1 b_2 \pm a_1 a_2 = 0,$$

$$\pm (a_1 - b_1)b_2(a_1^2 + a_2^2 + b_1^2 + b_2^2)\pm$$
$$\pm b_1b_2((a_1 - b_1)^2 + b_2^2 + a_1^2 + a_2^2)\pm$$
$$\pm a_1a_2((a_1 - b_1)^2 + b_2^2 + b_1^2 + b_2^2) = 0.$$

Here we denote by $\pm$ the sign of the corresponding fixed point $q_1, q_2, q_3$; recall that there are exactly two pluses and one minus among these signs.

Suppose that the signs of points $q_1, q_2, q_3$ are $(++-)$, respectively. Then the localization equation for the unit Pontryagin class is

$$(a_1 - b_1)b_2 + b_1b_2 - a_1a_2 = 0,$$

so $a_2 = b_2 = d$ (say).

Now consider the localization equation for the Pontryagin class $p_1(M)$ and subtract from it the equation for the unit Pontryagin class multiplied by $(a_1^2 + d^2 + b_1^2 + d^2)$. We get

$$b_1d(a_1^2 - 2a_1b_1) - a_1d(-2a_1b_1 + b_1^2) = 0.$$

After simplification we obtain that $a_1 = b_1$, so the weight $c_1 = a_1 - b_1$ is zero. This is impossible since the action has only isolated fixed points.

Now consider the case of sign distribution $(+-+)$. Then

$$b_2(a_1 - b_1) - b_1b_2 + a_1a_2 = 0$$

and $b_2(2b_1 - a_1) = a_1a_2$. Again, considering the localization equation for the Pontryagin class $p_1(M)$ and subtracting from it the equation for the unit Pontryagin class multiplied by $(a_1^2 + a_2^2 + b_1^2 + b_2^2)$, we get

$$-b_1b_2(a_1^2 - 2a_1b_1) + a_1a_2(b_1^2 - 2a_1b_1 + b_2^2 - a_2^2) = 0.$$

But $-b_2(a_1 - 2b_1) = a_1a_2$, so we can make the corresponding substitution in the equation and drop $a_1a_2$. The result is

$$b_1^2 - a_1b_1 + b_2^2 - a_2^2 = 0, \quad (b_2 - a_2)(b_2 + a_2) = (a_1 - b_1)b_1.$$

We know that $a_1 - b_1 > 0$ and so $b_2 - a_2 > 0$ and $b_2 > 1$. The weight $b_2$ is present at all three fixed points. Consider now the connected component of $M^{\mathbb{Z}_{b_2}}$ containing the points $q_1, q_2, q_3$. Each of these points contains at least one weight that is a multiple of $b_2$, so the numbers of such weights at each of $q_1, q_2, q_3$ should be equal by Lemma 2. Note that there are at least two weights equal to $b_2$ at the point $q_3$.

Since $a_2 < b_2$ and the numbers of weights that are multiples of $b_2$ coincides at $q_1$ and $q_2$, the weights $b_1$ and $a_1 - b_1$ are either both multiples of $b_2$, or none of them is a multiple of $b_2$. If they are both multiples of $b_2$, then all weights at $q_3$ are also multiples of $b_2$ and since $b_2 > a_2 \geqslant 1$, the circle action is not faithful.

So the only remaining possibility is that $a_1 = kb_2$. One can now write down the localization formula for the unit Pontryagin class and the action of the quotient circle $S^1/\mathbb{Z}_{b_2}$ on the four-dimensional component

$M^{\mathbb{Z}_{b_2}}$ containing $q_1, q_2, q_3$. A simple explicit calculation shows that $k{=}2$ is the only possible value. So $b_2 = a_1/2$ in this case.

Finally, we consider the sign distribution $(- + +)$. Then the localization equation for the unit Pontryagin class has the form

$$-(a_1 - b_1)b_2 + b_1b_2 + a_1a_2 = 0, \quad a_1a_2 = (a_1 - 2b_1)b_2,$$

and the localization equation for the Pontryagin class $p_1(M)$ yields

$$b_1b_2(a_1^2 - 2a_1b_1) + a_1a_2(b_1^2 - 2a_1b_1 + b_2^2 - a_2^2) = 0,$$
$$a_1a_2b_1a_1 + a_1a_2(b_1^2 - 2a_1b_1 + b_2^2 - a_2^2) = 0,$$
$$b_1^2 - a_1b_1 + b_2^2 - a_2^2 = 0, \quad (b_2 - a_2)(b_2 + a_2) = (a_1 - b_1)b_1.$$

Since $b_2 > a_2$, we may repeat all steps as above and get that $b_2 = a_1/2$. This finishes the proof.

## References


[1] J. Eells, N. Kuiper, *Manifolds which are like projective planes*, Pub. I.H.E.S., **14**, (1962), 5–46.

[2] I. M. Krichever, *Formal groups and the Atiyah-Hirzebruch formula*, Mathematics of the USSR-Izvestiya, no. **6**, (1974), 1271–1285.

[3] G. E. Bredon, *Introduction to compact transformation groups*, Academic Press, New York, 1972.

[4] O. R. Musin, *Action of a circle on homotopy complex projective spaces*, Mathematical notes of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Volume **28**, Issue **1**, July 1980, 533–540.

[5] M. Atiyah and R. Bott, *The moment map and equivariant cohomology*, Topology, **23**, (1984), 1–28.

[6] S. Tolman, J. Weitsman, *On semi-free symplectic circle actions with isolated fixed points*, Topology, **39**, (2000), no. 2, 299–309.

[7] M. Masuda and T. E. Panov, *Semifree circle actions, Bott towers and quasitoric manifolds*, Sbornik Math. **199**, (2008), no. 7–8, 1201–1223.

[8] V. M. Buchstaber, T. E. Panov and N. Ray, *Toric genera*, Internat. Math. Research Notices **16**, (2010), 3207–3262; arXiv:0908.3298.

[9] A. Pelayo, S. Tolman, *Fixed points of symplectic periodic flows*, Erg. Theory and Dyn. Syst. **31**, (2011), 1237–1247.

[10] P. Li, K. Liu, *Some remarks on circle action on manifolds,* Math. Res. Letters, **18**, (2011), 3, pp. 437–446.